CALIFORNIA EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROGRAMS ANTI-DRUG ABUSE (ADA) PROGRAM – PERFORMANCE SITE VISIT

Public Safety Branch- Drug Enforcement Section

GRA	NT NUMBER	GRANT AWARD PERIOD	GRANT AWARD AMOUNT		
√ MANDE	709/22/04/80 ⁷	77/04/09##6/80/40#	\$3811837		
PROGRAM N	AME.	anti-drug abus	e Propertion Arman		
PROJECT TIT		Copies as any grows o desired program			
1) ADMINIS	STRATIVE AGENCY:	Solano County			
2) IMPLEM	ENTING AGENCY:	Solano County district Attorney's Office			
3) PROJEC	T DIRECTOR: Address: Phone:	David W. Paulson, District Attorney			
-,	T COORDINATOR: Contact (Designee):	L. Kathryn Coffer, Assistant District Attorney Jason Aguirre, Accounting Technician			
Date of Visit:	April 26, 2010	Visit Conducted By: Becky S	Smart		
		WED/CONTACTED DURING T	THE VISITATION		
Date	Name	Title within Agency	Job Title (Project)		
4/26/10 Kathy Coffer		Assistant DA	Routine Program		
4/26/10 Jason Aguirre		Acct, Tech.	Routine Fiscal		
	(see Sign in shee	f)			

Signature of CaVEMA Representative Conducting the Visit

4/28/10 Date

Date

ANTI-DRUG ABUSE PROGRAM PERFORMANCE SITE VISIT FORM

PR	PROGRAMMATIC REVIEW					
A.	Ge	neral Does the project being visited fit within one of the following categories? (check only one) [~]				
		2 nd Year; 3 rd Year; Over three years, (Please specify) _22 _ years.				
	2.	Operational Documentation				
		Does the project have current versions of the following: a. Recipient Handbook b. Program Guidelines c. Grant Award Agreement				
	3.	Goals, Objectives, and Project Activities (Review the project's responses to the goals, objectives, and activities of the Grant Award Agreement.) a. Have there been any significant changes in how the project implements or sustains the objectives and activities of this program? (If yes, will a grant modification be required?)				
		b. Is the project making satisfactory progress toward achieving it's goals and objectives? (If no,please explain.)				
	4.	Progress Reporting (Review the progress report format, content and submission requirements.)				
		a. Has the project submitted all required reports on time? (If no, please explain.)				
		b. Has the project kept accurate source documentation in support of statistical data on the progress report?				

NTI-DRUG ABUSE PROGRAM PERFORMANCE SITE VISIT FORM

PROGRAMMATIC REVIEW (continued)					
5.	(Re	grammatic Source Documentation view documents maintained by the project that repre rterly progress reports.)			
	a.	Has the project developed an information retrieval system that provides accurate data? (This system may be automated or manual.)	YES	NO	N/A
	b.	Does the project use data summary sheets, actual case/client records, or other concrete documents to validate project performance/direct support?			
6.	(Ens	ject Staff Duties & Responsibilities sure that project staff made other project staff availab ation.)	ole for inte	rviews duri	ing the
	a.	Have all grant funded project staff positions been filled? (If no, please explain.)	~		
	b.	Are grant funded job duty statements "project specific"? (not a copy of the county's local agency job duty statement.)	~		
	c.	Do project staff meet all special skill certifications required? (If no, please explain.)	~		
	d.	Are staff performing duties outlined in the Grant Award Agreement?	~		
	e.	Have project staff assumed duties for more than one CalEMA-funded project? (If yes, please explain.)		~	
	f.	Are there any programmatic problems unique to this project? (If yes, please explain.)		V	
7.	Prog	gram Specific Requirements			
	a.	Are Project Income Reporting forms completed and mailed to Cal EMA on a quarterly basis? (Only required if asset forfeiture funds are received and/or expended.)			
	b.	Is a copy of the signed DEC Protocol MOU kept on file at project headquarters?	~		

NTI-DRUG ABUSE PROGRAM PERFORMANCE SITE VISIT FORM

I. PROGRAMMATIC REVIEW (continued)						
		c.	Are there any outstanding issues related to carrying out the DEC Protocol requirements? (If yes, please explain.)	YES	NO V	N/A
		d.	Is a copy of the ADA Steering Committee minutes in file?	~		
		e.	Are the minutes dated and signed by law enforcement, prosecution, probation and the county drug administrator? If no, please explain.	·		
		f.	Do the minutes include a description of the plan and distribution of funds? If no, please explain.	~		
		g.	Is a copy of the Operational Agreement in file?			
		h.	Is the Operational Agreement dated and signed by all participating agencies? If no, please explain.	y		
ADI	MINIS	STRA	ATIVE REVIEW		* ,	
A. General						
	1. Program Files					
		a.	Is the project familiar with preparation requirements for the following frequently used Cal EMA forms:	5		
			(1) Cal EMA Form 2-223, Grant Award Modification	~		
			(2) Cal EMA Form 2-201, Report of Expenditures and Request for Funds	<u> </u>		
	2.	Personnel Policies				
		a.	Are written personnel policies in place and available to all employees?	e		
		b.	Do the policies discuss work hours, compensation rates, including overtime, and benefits; vacation, sick, or other leave allowances, hiring and promotional policies?	<u> </u>		

\NTI-DRUG ABUSE PROGRAM PERFORMANCE SITE VISIT FORM

ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW (continued) **Financial Requirements** В. **Functional Time Sheets** 1. YES NO N/A Does the project use a Functional Time Sheet for all project positions employed less-than fulltime? Are functional time sheets completed correctly? Is the percent (%) of time project staff spend on other non-project duties being tracked? (If no, provide a discussion concerning any recommendations made to the project.) **Duties of the Financial Officer** 2. Has the project taken steps to assure that the duties of the financial officer are separate from that of the bookkeeper and project director? (separation of duties) b. Do the financial officer and project director interact successfully on project expenditure decisions? **Financial Source Documentation** 1. Does the project maintain updated budget pages on all approved grant award modifications? 2. Does the project maintain Confidential funds? If so, are protective safeguards and policies in place? Describe: If project income is acquired, is it tracked and reported? 3. If no, please explain. Has the project submitted Reports of Expenditures 4. on time? 5. Are there other issues concerning project expenditures and reporting? If so, please explain.

ANTI-DRUG ABUSE PROGRAM PERFORMANCE SITE VISIT FORM

1.	ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW (continued)				
	D.	Equ	Equipment		
		1.	Acq	uisition YES NO N/A	
			a.	Are equipment purchases authorized budget items?	
				Was equipment purchased in accordance with the Grant Award Agreement and affixed with state tags?	
				Does the project maintain inventory control logs of equipment purchased with grant funds?	
	E. State/Federal Administrative Requirements				
		Mandated State and Federal Programs (Determine whether or not the following documents are posted at the site visited)			
			a.	A current Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO)	
			b.	A current "Harassment or Discrimination in Employment is Prohibited by Law" poster?	
			c.	A current Drug-Free Workplace Policy statement? ✓	
Ŷ.			d.	Documentation of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) on file? EXEMPT	

III. PROGRAMMATIC, ADMINISTRATIVE, AND FINANCIAL DISCUSSIONS

(Provide a summary of c ervations, findings, and recomm dations made during the visit)

Per my request persons having routine fiscal and programmatic responsibilities were present along with the Deputy District Attorney (funded in part by this grant). All required documentation was provided to me in a complete and well organized manner.

I gave an overview of my role and responsibilities in representing Cal EMA and asked if there were any questions to be asked of me before we began the programmatic review. There were no specific questions regarding the ongoing Anti-Drug Abuse (ADA) program, except for a short discussion on the future of ongoing ADA funding and the potential for delays due to the passage of the Governors State Budget for FY 2010/11.

I gave Kathy a brief tutorial of the Bureau of Justice Assistance's Performance Measurement Tool (BJA's PMT) online reporting system. I explained that the deadline for the final quarterly progress report has been moved up from 8/1/2010 to 7/15/2010 and that the DA's Office can either #1) submit a paper final quarterly report to me @ CalEMA or #2) log into the PMT and enter statistical reporting data directly into the online system. If option #2 is selected—I will need to be notified by email once the data is ready for me to review and approve.

When I asked if there were any obstacles encountered in administering the program I was told there were none. We talked about the Solano Narcotics Enforcement Team (Sol-NET), and the CA Multi-jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Team (Cal-MMET) supported by the District Attorney's Office's administration of the ADA Prosecution Program and the collective efforts that make this a successful program.

The Solano County District Attorney's ADA Prosecution Program is well managed. Staffing assigned to the project are very knowledgeable of their duties and responsibilities including creating and maintaining documentation in support of Cal-EMA funded activities.